



Eddy Current Array – erstatning for MT / PT testing

Stavanger 10 Mai 2016 Jone Lundervold

Confidential © 2016 Aker Solutions

Replacing NDT techniques that require chemicals

Eddy Current Array require less cleaning and no need for chemicals



Current situation

- Magnetic particle testing (MT) and penetrant testing (PT), are the most commonly used methods for surface crack detection in welds. These techniques require a lot of surface preparation, removal of paint, cleaning and the inspection itself require use of chemicals. After the inspection the surface must be prepared again for recoating including sandblasting and painting.
- This represents represent a hazard through ergonomic and chemical exposure, as well as chemicals released to the environment.





Our approach

- Eddy Current Array (ECA) is a development in eddy current technology (ET) able to replace conventional MT & PT testing.
- We use of ECA as a substitute for MT & PT will not only eliminate exposure to inspection chemicals but will eliminate the need of surface preparation and recoating in its entirety and thereby the ergonomic and chemical hazards related to it. In addition there is no environmental impact from chemicals release. The ECA method is time and cost effective, also having a positive effect on cost savings.





Eddy Current Array technique

- Electromagnetic NDT technique
- Manual scanning or ergonomic scanner solutions
- Portable and easy to use
- Passed all blind trials for DNV
- Approved by Norsok M601 as a replacement technique for MT and PT







Why use ECA

- No need to remove paint, up to 3mm
- No need to grind or clean surface before inspection
- Can inspect in any weather. No need for dry surface like MT/PT
- Faster than MT/PT
- Can measure cracks up to 10mm depth, MT/PT can not size
- Digital recording of inspection. MT/PT are only visual
- Environmental friendly. No use of chemicals







Eddy Current Array





Hvor kommer ECA fra?





Flyindustri





Flere leverandører

Zetec, GE Olympus/RD Tech EddyFi, etc







Hva er Eddy Current Array

 Alle typer Eddy Current prober kan bli brukt som element i en Array konfigurasjon





ECA probe innvendig





ET og ECA





Konvensjonell ET

En coil

- Tidkrevende
- Operatøravhengig
 - Må ofte verifiseres

Eddy Current Array

- Raskere
- Større dekning
- Digital lagring
- Enkoder
- Reproduserbart





Hovedforskjeller mellom ET og ECA

- Større dekning
- Kan lagre inspeksjonsdata
- Presentasjonsformat C-Scan, 3D
- Bedre POD



Confidential © 2016 Aker Solutions



Probetyper





Semi-fleksibel probe

For rør, beholdere og andre buede overflater













Tubing, instrumentrør





Fleksible ECA prober

For rør, utfordrende geometrier



Inspiserer CS som vanlig ET

Slide 18







14 May, 2016

Fleksible prober forts.

Programerbar – frekvens, sensitivitet





SS316 Pitting SCC



Slide 19





Encoder

Sharck Probe – For CS sveis med cap



- 3mm coating
- C-Scan, lokasjon, lengde, dybde (0-10mm)
- Dekker Cap, tå og Haz i et scan





Sharck probe C-Scan informasjon

Kan se og lokalisere funn i sveis selv om den er planslipt og malt





Anvendelse - Tilrettelegge metoden for NCS

- Anskaffe kalibreringsemner
- Demonstrere deteksjon og sensitivitet
- Verifisere med relevante belegg





Hvorfor bruke ECA

- Trenger ikke fjerne maling, opptil 3mm
- Trenger ikke slipe overflate for å utføre inspeksjon
- Go No Go inspeksjon
- Raskere enn MT/PT
- Kan måle dybde på sprekker (opptil 10mm for CS)
- Bedre deteksjon enn konvensjonell ET
- Digitalt opptak
 - Trending
 - Reprodusere inspeksjon
- Encoder
 - Mapping
- Kost effektiv metode i både drift og stanser
- Miljøvennlig, ingen kjemikalier



Uten belegg





Med belegg





Go-NoGo Inspeksjon





Våre erfaringer i felt





Offshore-case

Det ble oppdaget en sprekk i et rør ved fabrikken. Rørspooler fra samme batch var allerede installert offshore.











- Fikk emne fra samme batch
- Lagde kalibreringsplater
 Superaustenittisk
- Test i lab
- Valgte probe
- Laget oppsett
- Gjennomføring raskere enn antatt





Stress Corrosion Cracking i SS316





Laget kalibreringsblokker for å verifisere sensitivitet





Feil i sealing surface på et juletre.





Mulighetsstudie





Ferdig produkt



Confidential © 2016 Aker Solutions



Titan brannvannsrør













Driftsinspeksjon

- 4 utstyr, etablert i SDI
 - EddyFi Ectane
 - EddyFi Reddy, portable ECA utstyr
 - Olympus MX, med Eddy Current Array modul
- Personell:
 - P.t 4 personer som har opplæring
 - Alt personell som har min. ET2 (38), er aktuell som utførende operatør
 - Må ha kurs i bruk av utstyr og demonstrere kompetanse og bruk



MMO - AIM Eddy Current Array



Scope

- Eddy current testing (ET) is a well known inspection method in the oil and gas industry and is recognized for its ability to detect flaws hidden under coating.
 ECA is basically ET coils arranged in an array, thereby increasing coverage and PoD, reducing cost and inspection time while still keeping the 100% green aspect of ET.
- ECA is predominantly used in the aviation industry today, but AIM has for some time seen the benefit of the technology and are working towards introducing it to the oil and gas industry.
- AIM has done excessive testing in the lab at Kokstad on materials commonly found on offshore installations and onshore refineries with good results. We are confident that this technology will, to a great extent, supersede MT and PT inspections and as a result, reduce the use of and need for chemicals and paint removal.
- The challenge now lies with convincing customers that this is the green inspection method for the future. Also we need to broaden confidence, competence and knowledge within AIM and then market the service as one of our advanced inspection methods.
- At the "Overflate 2013" convention we held a presentation about the technology, our progression and our lessons learned, and received a great deal of interest from clients, both external as well as internal.



MMO - AIM Eddy Current Array

Results - a success story from Kristin

- In early 2013, AIM was challenged by the Kristin LPP project in Aker Solutions MMO. A crack had been detected in super austenitic piping produced for the project. The crack was detected during inspection at the factory, but pipes from the same batch had already been installed and painted offshore. The challenge was to inspect the 18" and 20" pipes without delaying the project, running up cost or remove the paint. AIM answered by offering the ECA inspection. Two calibration blocks were made from cut pipe of the same batch, provided by the project. Spark eroded cracks were made in the calibration blocks and one block was painted to the same standard as the pipes offshore. In march, two inspectors from the eddy current group within advanced inspection in AIM, travelled to Kristin. Approximately 60-70 meters of pipe was inspected in 12 days in freezing cold temperatures, which would have made MT or PT almost impossible. No paint was removed, the LPP project kept moving forward working around the two inspectors, no cracks were detected, the pipes were cleared and the LPP module was installed as planned on Kristin.
- A rough/low estimate on HSE benefits on this one job:
 - Eliminated apx. 60 hrs. sweep blasting of piping + preparations
 - Eliminated apx. 70 hrs. of recoating (incl. metallizing) + preparations
 - Eliminated use of apx. 100L inspection chemicals
 - Eliminated use of apx. 210 L. of coating chemicals (incl. epoxy, aluminium, silicone paint)





MMO - AIM Eddy Current Array

Summary

- Today, magnetic particle testing (MT) and penetrant testing (PT), are the most commonly used methods for crack detection. They both depend on clean, bare metal surfaces and a lot of surface preparation is needed as possibility of detection (PoD) will always be limited to the cleanliness of the surface (i.e. cracks with dirt or oil residue will not be detected). Surface preparation and recoating after inspection include sandblasting and use of chemicals/paint, which represent a hazard through ergonomic and chemical exposure, as well as chemicals released to the environment. MT&PT inspection technique themselves also rely on use of chemicals.
- Following the hierarchy of controls, elimination or substitution are first priorities to prevent loss from hazards related to an activity.
- Eddy Current Array (ECA) is a development in eddy current technology (ET) able to replace conventional MT & PT testing.
- Use of ECA as a substitute for MT & PT will not only eliminate exposure to inspection chemicals but will eliminate the need of surface preparation and recoating in its entirety and thereby the ergonomic and chemical hazards related to it. In addition there is no environmental impact from chemicals release. The ECA method is time and cost effective, also having a positive effect on cost savings.





Rope Access instead of scaffolding

- Major cost saving
- HSE friendly.
- No need for scaffolding







Takk for oppmerksomheten

frode.haukanes@akersolutions.com +47 454 11 186

間



AKE Chance Technolog

Copyright and Disclaimer

Copyright

Copyright of all published material including photographs, drawings and images in this document remains vested in Aker Solutions and third party contributors as appropriate. Accordingly, neither the whole nor any part of this document shall be reproduced in any form nor used in any manner without express prior permission and applicable acknowledgements. No trademark, copyright or other notice shall be altered or removed from any reproduction.

Disclaimer

This Presentation includes and is based, inter alia, on forward-looking information and statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ. These statements and this Presentation are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about global economic conditions, the economic conditions of the regions and industries that are major markets for Aker Solutions ASA and Aker Solutions ASA's (including subsidiaries and affiliates) lines of business. These expectations, estimates and projections are generally identifiable by statements containing words such as "expects", "believes", "estimates" or similar expressions. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expectations include, among others, economic and market conditions in the geographic areas and industries that are or will be major markets for Aker Solutions ASA believes, changes in governmental regulations, interest rates, fluctuations in currency exchange rates and such other factors as may be discussed from time to time in the Presentation. Although Aker Solutions ASA believes that its expectations and the Presentation are based upon reasonable assumptions, it can give no assurance that those expectations will be achieved or that the actual results will be as set out in the Presentation. Aker Solutions ASA is making no representation or warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of the Presentation, and neither Aker Solutions ASA nor any of its directors, officers or employees will have any liability to you or any other persons resulting from your use.

Aker Solutions consists of many legally independent entities, constituting their own separate identities. Aker Solutions is used as the common brand or trade mark for most of these entities. In this presentation we may sometimes use "Aker Solutions", "we" or "us" when we refer to Aker Solutions companies in general or where no useful purpose is served by identifying any particular Aker Solutions company.

